

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,007	0	3/31/2004	Alan E. Jordan	022010-000310US	9178	
20350	7590	10/06/2006		EXAMINER		
TOWNSE	ND AND	TOWNSEND AN	MEHTA, BHISMA			
TWO EMB	ARCADER	O CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EIGHTH FI	LOOR			ARTONII	TATER NOWIBER	
SAN FRAN	CISCO, C	A 94111-3834		3767		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/815,007	JORDAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Bhisma Mehta	3767				
•	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		<u></u>				
Period fo	and the second s						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin iiil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	<u>arch 2004</u> .					
,	·—	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 7-33 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	·				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•	,				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>7-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
•	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
* 6	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed				
•	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies flot receive	,				
	•						
			·				
Attachmen	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/815,007

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

A. Figures 8, 9;

B. Figures 10,11;

C. Figure 12;

D. Figures 13, 14;

E. Figures 15, 16;

F. Figures 17, 18;

G. Figure 19;

H. Figures 20, 20A;

I. Figures 21, 22;

J. Figure 23, 23A;

K. Figures 24, 25;

L. Figure 26.

The species are independent or distinct because they are different embodiments of the expression mechanism.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 7 and 20 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/815,007

Art Unit: 3767

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to Steven Petersen on September 29, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/815,007

Art Unit: 3767

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bhisma Mehta whose telephone number is 571-272-3383. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BM

KEVIN C. SIRMONS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER